

Two-Body Dirac Equation and Its Wave Function at the Origin *

Hitoshi ITO

*Department of Physics, Faculty of Science and Engineering
Kinki University, Higashi-Osaka, 577 JAPAN*

December 18, 1996

Abstract

A relativistic equation is deduced for the bound state of two particles, by assuming a proper boundary condition for the propagation of the negative-energy states. It reduces to the (one-body)Dirac equation in the infinite limit of one of the constituent mass. It also has the symmetries to assure the existence of the anti-bound-state with the same mass. The interaction kernel(pseudo-potential) is systematically constructed by diagonalizing the Hamiltonian of the background field theory, by which the retardation effects are included in the interaction. Its wave function at the origin(WFO) behaves properly in a manner suggested by the covariant field theory. The equation can well be applied in the heavy quark effective theory.

Pacs: 03.65.Pm, 11.10.St

Keywords

two-body equation Dirac equation relativistic dynamics
wave function at the origin PCT invariance heavy quark

*A preliminary report was presented in the workshop "Fundamental Problems in the Elementary Particle Theory" held at Nihon University in March 1995.

1 Introduction

One of the unsatisfactory nature of the Bethe-Salpeter equation for the bound state is that it does not reduce to the Dirac equation in the infinite limit of the one of the constituent mass, even when the interaction is assumed to be instantaneous[1]. We have to sum up all the crossed diagrams to recover the Dirac equation[2], which is impossible for the finite masses. The reducibility to the Dirac equation is essential in applications to the heavy flavored quarkonia or the other atom-like systems.

Historically, the relativistic single-time equation for the two-body system preceded the BS equation. Soon after the discovery of the Dirac equation, Breit proposed the equation of the form[3]

$$\{H_1(\mathbf{p}_1) + H_2(\mathbf{p}_2) + V\}\psi = E\psi, \quad (1)$$

where H_i is the Dirac Hamiltonian

$$H_i(\mathbf{p}_i) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}_i \cdot \mathbf{p}_i + m_i \beta_i$$

and V is a local potential. The Breit equation reduces to the Dirac equation in the limit mentioned above but does not have the “ E -parity symmetry”, by which we mean there is symmetric negative eigenvalue E for each positive one, which is interpreted as the bound state of the antiparticles.

E -parity symmetry is a result of the PCT invariance. The Dirac Hamiltonian is odd under the PCT transformation and the interaction Hamiltonian considered below is invariant under it. We therefore see that the E -parity symmetry in the instantaneous BS equation is assured by a projection factor

$$\Lambda_{++} - \Lambda_{--} \quad (2)$$

in front of the potential V , which consists of the energy-projection operators

$$\Lambda_{\varepsilon\eta}(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2) = \Lambda_{\varepsilon}^1(\mathbf{p}_1) \Lambda_{\eta}^2(\mathbf{p}_2), \quad \varepsilon, \eta = + \text{ or } -, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\Lambda_{\varepsilon}^i(\mathbf{p}_i) = \{E_i(p_i) + \varepsilon H_i(\mathbf{p}_i)\} / 2E_i(p_i),$$

$$E_i(p_i) = (\mathbf{p}_i^2 + m_i^2)^{1/2}.$$

We must introduce a similar factor in any attempt at the construction of an improved two-body equation.

The factor (2) comes from the Stückelberg-Feynman boundary condition for the propagation of the negative-energy state[4]. We will construct the equation for the unequal-mass constituents by imposing similar boundary condition. Before presenting it, we restrict the framework of consideration. For definiteness, we assume

Abelian gauge field interacting with the Dirac particles. We also work in the rest frame of the bound system($P = (E, \mathbf{0})$), since we are looking for the non-covariant approximation of the low-energy dynamics. $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{x}$, in the following, are the relative momentum and coordinate, respectively, in this frame.

2 The equation for unequal-mass constituents

In this section, we deduce a new single-time equation(Two-body Dirac equation) for the unequal-mass constituents, for which we assume that the mass $M(m_1)$ is larger than $m(m_2)$.

2.1 Two-body Dirac equation

Let us first consider the 2-body propagator for which we impose the boundary condition that the negative-energy states propagate backward in time. If we keep individuality of the constituents and use the usual Feynman propagator in the instantaneous BS equation, the equation (1) modified by the factor (2) results. However, we can choose the other possibility in which we incorporate the idea that the bound two bodies should be treated as a quantum-mechanical unity.¹ Since M is larger than m , the free part of the Hamiltonian has the same sign as that of the particle 1 in the CM system. Let us then modify the boundary condition as follows: *A bound two-particle state propagates backward in time if their net energy is negative.* By assuming it, we have the propagator

$$S_F^{(2)}(P, p) = \sum_{\varepsilon\eta} \frac{1}{\lambda_1 E - p_0 - H_1(-\mathbf{p}) + i\varepsilon\delta} \frac{1}{\lambda_2 E + p_0 - H_2(\mathbf{p}) + i\varepsilon\delta} \Lambda_{\varepsilon\eta} \gamma_0^1 \gamma_0^2, \quad (4)$$

where $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$ and the limit $\delta \rightarrow +0$ is assumed.

By assuming (4) we obtain the single-time equation. The pseudo-4-dimensional form of it, in the momentum space, is

$$\psi(p) = iS_F^{(2)}(P, p) \int V(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) \psi(q) d^4q / (2\pi)^4, \quad (5)$$

where $V(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$ represents the interaction, which does not depend on the relative energies but is not necessarily an instantaneous local potential. After integrating out the redundant degree of the freedom, (5) becomes

¹We can establish the concept of individuality in the quantum mechanics only through observation, which brings about a subtle point to the bound system: To detect an individual one in the bound constituents, we need to separate them by applying the 3rd interaction, which inevitably destroys the original state. So there is no *a priori* reason to apply the free propagator individually to each constituent. Note that “the free propagator” itself *for the bound state* is merely a convention of approximation.

$$\{H_1(-\mathbf{p}) + H_2(\mathbf{p}) + \sum_{\varepsilon\eta} \varepsilon \Lambda_{\varepsilon\eta} V\} \psi = E \psi, \quad (6)$$

which reduces to the Dirac equation in the infinite limit of M . It is easy to see the E -parity symmetry of this equation.

If we would apply our equation to the scattering state, we shall have, from the time-dependent equation, the conserved probability density

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}, t) = \psi(\mathbf{x}, t)^\dagger \sum_{\varepsilon\eta} \varepsilon \Lambda_{\varepsilon\eta} \psi(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad (7)$$

which is in accord with the boundary condition that the negative-energy state propagates backward in time carrying the negative probability density[5]. However, it does not necessarily provide the normalization condition for the bound state: For the scattering processes, the projected wave function $\Lambda_{\varepsilon\eta} \psi$ corresponds to the physical state of the (free) particles with the positive or negative energy E . And the above interpretation of the probability current actually says that *the state with the negative E is carrying the negative probability density*. However, for the bound state with the positive eigenvalue E , $\Lambda_{--} \psi$ or $\Lambda_{-+} \psi$ is merely *a negative-energy component in the representation in which the energy of the free particle is diagonal*.

Taking the above consideration into account, we restore the probability interpretation of the wave function and normalize it by assuming the probability density

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}) = \psi(\mathbf{x}, t)^\dagger \psi(\mathbf{x}, t). \quad (8)$$

Observables except the Hamiltonian are self-adjoint under this metric:

$$(\phi, \hat{O}\psi) = (\hat{O}\phi, \psi). \quad (9)$$

The Hamiltonian is the operator ruling the time development of the system and its interaction part is modified by the factor $\sum_{\varepsilon\eta} \varepsilon \Lambda_{\varepsilon\eta}$. Though it is not a self-adjoint operator, its eigenvalue is proved to be real if the inner product (13) below exists.

2.2 Green's function and the vertex equation

We define the Green's function G for (6) by the operator equation

$$\{E - H_1 - H_2 - \sum_{\varepsilon\eta} \varepsilon \Lambda_{\varepsilon\eta} V\} G = \sum_{\varepsilon\eta} \varepsilon \Lambda_{\varepsilon\eta}, \quad (10)$$

and

$$G \{E - H_1 - H_2 - V \sum_{\varepsilon\eta} \varepsilon \Lambda_{\varepsilon\eta}\} = \sum_{\varepsilon\eta} \varepsilon \Lambda_{\varepsilon\eta}. \quad (11)$$

In the momentum representation, it can be written, by using the eigen-function $\chi_n(\mathbf{p})$ of (6), as

$$G(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') = \sum_n \frac{1}{N_n(E - E_n)} \chi_n(\mathbf{p}) \chi_n(\mathbf{p}')^\dagger + \text{continuum}, \quad (12)$$

where E_n is an eigenvalue and N_n is a normalization factor defined by

$$N_n = (\chi_n, \sum_{\varepsilon\eta} \varepsilon \Lambda_{\varepsilon\eta} \chi_n). \quad (13)$$

When one of the constituents(labeled with 2) belongs to the same class of the antiparticle of the other, there can be an annihilation process for which the unamputated-decay-vertex Φ is given by

$$\Phi = C\gamma G, \quad (14)$$

where γ is the lowest vertex and C is the charge-conjugation matrix of the particle 2.

Φ satisfies the vertex equation

$$\Phi(E - H_1 - H_2 - V \sum_{\varepsilon\eta} \varepsilon \Lambda_{\varepsilon\eta}) = C\gamma \sum_{\varepsilon\eta} \varepsilon \Lambda_{\varepsilon\eta} \quad (15)$$

and the amputated vertex is

$$\Gamma = \gamma + C\Phi V. \quad (16)$$

We can determine the renormalization constant Z_1 for the wave function at the origin(WFO) from (15) and (16), if we need it[6].

2.3 Interaction Hamiltonian

We have, so far, not specified the interaction Hamiltonian (quasipotential). In this section, we investigate it for the one-(Abelian)gauge-boson exchange in the Coulomb gauge as an example. For the instantaneous part of the interaction, V is obvious. For the remaining part, we can specify the quasipotential in a clear way from the background field theory. We have already shown, for the Salpeter equation, that the quasipotential from the one-boson exchange is given through the diagonalization of Fukuda, Sawada, Taketani[7] and Okubo[8] (FSTO)[9]. We also apply this method to the present equation.

We introduce the generalized Fock subspace of the free constituents, the bases of which are denoted by $|\varepsilon, \eta, \mathbf{p}\rangle$, where ε and η are the signs of the energies of the particles 1 and 2 respectively.² We then diagonalize the Hamiltonian in the

²There was some error concerning the Fock space in Ref.[9]. Namely, we employed the usual(positive-energy) Fock space and reinterpreted the matrix elements of the interaction Hamiltonian including the negative-energy indices as the ones in this space according to the hole theory. It, however, brings the procedure into confusion, since we have revived the negative energy in Eq.(6). However, the error is only conceptual for the Salpeter equation which has only projection factors Λ_{++} and Λ_{--} . The result of Ref.[9] is correct.

Schrödinger picture by using the FSTO method. The second-order boson-exchange potential in this subspace is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varepsilon, \eta, \mathbf{p} | V(1b) | \varepsilon', \eta', \mathbf{p}' \rangle &= \frac{g^2}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{ij} \alpha_{1i} (\delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}^2} q_i q_j) \alpha_{2j} \\ &\times \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}^2 - \{\varepsilon E_1(p) - \varepsilon' E_1(p')\}^2} + \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}^2 - \{\eta E_2(p) - \eta' E_2(p')\}^2} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}'$. The retardation effects are included in this equation.

3 Some properties

3.1 WFO of the 1S_0 state

Let us next study some basic feature of the equation. When it is applied to the system in which the pair annihilation of the constituents can occur, an important physical quantity is the wave function at the origin(WFO). For example, the decay amplitude of the pseudo-scalar $Q\bar{q}$ meson via a weak boson is proportional to the average WFO $\text{Tr}\{\gamma_5 \gamma_0 \psi(0)\}$, where $\psi(0)$ is the charge conjugated(with respect to the particle 2($\bar{q}$)) WFO. We investigate, in Appendix, the asymptotic behavior of the momentum-space wave function by using the method given in Ref.[6]. We assume instantaneous exchange of a gauge boson³. The average WFO thus obtained is finite. This result is consistent with consideration on the covariant field theory. We note that the average WFO becomes divergent in the limit of the one-body Dirac equation, for which we have the renormalization procedure[10].

There are many “two-body Dirac equation” proposed. An interesting one from the point of view of the present paper is the one by Mandelzweig and Wallace[11]. They intended to include the effects of the higher-order interaction (the crossed Feynman diagram) and got an equation which has the proper one-body limit and the E -parity symmetry. An important difference from our equation is in the average WFO considered above. It is divergent in their equation if the transverse part of the gauge-boson exchange is included[12].

3.2 The crossed diagram

By modifying the boundary condition in (6), we have included some parts of the crossed diagram. To estimate this effect, we take up the 4th-order scattering amplitude in the Coulomb potential for the mass-shell particles. We are interested in the contribution of the intermediate state with the Λ_{+-} projection. Now, let us compare

³The analysis in the Appendix cannot be applied to the retarded interaction.

the two amplitudes restricted to this intermediate state: the ‘ladder diagram’(l) with the propagator (4) and the crossed diagram(c) with the usual Feynman propagator. We see that they are different by the following factors:

$$I_l = \frac{\sqrt{(\mathbf{p}_2 + \mathbf{q})^2 + m^2} - \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(2)} \cdot (\mathbf{p}_2 + \mathbf{q}) - \beta^{(2)}m}{\sqrt{(\mathbf{p}_2 + \mathbf{q})^2 + m^2}(A + \sqrt{\mathbf{p}_2^2 + m^2} + \sqrt{(\mathbf{p}_2 + \mathbf{q})^2 + m^2}} \quad (18)$$

for the ‘ladder diagram’ and

$$I_c = \frac{\sqrt{(\mathbf{p}'_2 - \mathbf{q})^2 + m^2} - \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(2)} \cdot (\mathbf{p}'_2 - \mathbf{q}) - \beta^{(2)}m}{\sqrt{(\mathbf{p}'_2 - \mathbf{q})^2 + m^2}(-A + \sqrt{\mathbf{p}'_2{}^2 + m^2} + \sqrt{(\mathbf{p}'_2 - \mathbf{q})^2 + m^2}} \quad (19)$$

for the crossed diagram, where $\mathbf{p}_2$ and $\mathbf{p}'_2$ are the initial and final momentum of the lighter particle respectively and $\mathbf{q}$ is the loop momentum to be integrated. The common element A is given by

$$A = \sqrt{\mathbf{p}_1^2 + M^2} - \sqrt{(\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{q})^2 + M^2}.$$

We see that I_l and I_c have the same signature and the order of magnitude for momenta much smaller than M .

One would intend to proceed to the next order approximation in the interaction V . He will calculate the 4th-order crossed diagram by assuming ordinary Feynman propagator. He will, further, have to add counter correction terms to compensate the part of the crossed diagram already included effectively in the iteration of the lowest kernel V , an example of which is given by the term with the factor I_l .

3.3 On application to the heavy quark effective theory

One of the research fields in which we can utilize the two-body Dirac equation is the physics of the heavy flavored quarkonia. The recent trends in this field are led by the heavy quark effective theory(HQET). The $Q\bar{q}$ system is well described by using the two-body Dirac equation with some phenomenological (pseudo)potential. If we take the heavy quark limit($M \rightarrow \infty$) of the quark Q , the equation itself becomes the one-body Dirac equation, which is the basic equation of HQET. There are, however, divergent(as $M \rightarrow \infty$) portions in the WFO, some average of which determines the annihilation decay rate of a pseudoscalar quarkonium. The matrix element of the current for this decay is given by

$$\langle 0 | \bar{q} \gamma_\nu \gamma_5 Q | Q \bar{q} \rangle \sim C(\mu) \text{tr} \{ \gamma_\nu \gamma_5 \Psi(0) \}, \quad (20)$$

where $\Psi(0)$ is the WFO of the bound state and we have separated the correction $C(\mu)$ coming from the range of momenta $\mu \sim M$ in the integral over the loop momenta.

$C(\mu)$ is usually determined from the perturbative loop corrections summed up by using the renormalization group equation [13][14].

$$C(\mu) = \left(\frac{\alpha_s(M)}{\alpha_s(\mu)}\right)^d, \quad d = -6/(33 - 2N_f). \quad (21)$$

We can calculate $1/M$ corrections to HQET by using the two-body Dirac equation.

3.4 *Another approach to the heavy quark phenomenology*

An alternative way of investigating the heavy quark systems is due to direct application of the two-body Dirac equation. The binding interaction, in this formulation, consists of the Coulomb and the confining potentials. We further add the gluonic correction term to the potential, for which we should attach the high momentum cutoff[15]. The correction factor $C(\mu)$ depends on this cutoff. If we take the cutoff of the QCD scale, we should include all the corrections except for the Coulomb contribution to the vertex correction. By subtracting it in the equation (21), we get $d = 2/(33 - 2N_f)$ for the exponent[16].⁴ In the phenomenology of the $c\bar{c}$ and $b\bar{b}$ systems[9], we took fairly large value for this cutoff. If we choose the same one we only need the correction from the self-energy of the quarks. Then, the exponent becomes $d = -2/(33 - 2N_f)$.

3.5 *On the equal-mass limit*

The unequal-mass equation (6) is well applied to the system with $M \gg m$. For $M \simeq m$, the reason justifying (4) becomes obscure and we will have two different equations in the limit $M = m$. Though we concern ourselves in the case $M > m$, it is meaningful to investigate the degree of ambiguity near the equal mass limit. For $M = m$, the projection factor in front of the interaction term of (6) includes a part which violates the exchange symmetry: It is shown that

$$\Lambda^{(V)} = \Lambda_{+-} - \Lambda_{-+} \quad (22)$$

and the Heisenberg's exchange operator

$$P_H = \frac{1}{4}(1 + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2)(1 + \boldsymbol{\rho}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_2)P_M \quad (23)$$

anticommute, where the operator P_M exchanges the momenta(or coordinates). $\Lambda^{(V)}$ violates the symmetry since the remaining part of the Hamiltonian and P_H commute.

⁴ We have not assumed the counter correction which compensates the modification of the two-body propagator, since it represents some real effect of the crossed diagram. In QED for which the perturbative corrections work very well, we have to add the counter corrections to the vertex part.

For equal-mass limit, we have two equations. One is the equation (6) with $M = m$ and another is obtained by assigning a minus sign in front of $\Lambda^{(V)}$, which is the equal-mass limit of the equation with $m > M$. It is the conjugate equation of (6) in the sense that (6) is converted into it by the transformation P_H . It is easy to show that these equations have the common eigenvalue spectrum: If an eigenfunction χ_n of (6) belongs to some eigenvalue E_n , $P_H\chi_n$ is the solution of the conjugate equation with the same eigenvalue. However, χ_n does not have the definite P_H -parity.

For equal masses, it is reasonable to use the Salpeter equation which includes the projection factor (2). The eigenvalue of this equation is different from the above E_n . The difference is, however, small since it is of the 4th order in the symmetry-breaking part of the Hamiltonian.

4 Summary

By assuming a proper boundary condition for the two-body propagation in the negative-energy state, we have proposed a new bound-state equation for the unequal-mass constituents. It has the symmetrical energy eigenvalues E and $-E$ and reduces to the (one-body)Dirac equation in the infinite limit of one of the constituents masses. Secondly, we have discussed the normalization of the wave function and pointed out that the positive-definite probability density should be assumed. We can consistently calculate the observables of the bound state by assuming this normalization.

The interaction Hamiltonian of the equation is constructed by diagonalizing the field theoretic Hamiltonian in the generalized Fock subspace of the two particles. Relativistic effects such as the retardation are taken into account in systematic way in the framework of the perturbation theory.

We have further investigated the WFO's of the proposed equation in some detail for the instantaneous interaction and shown that the average WFO in the 1S_0 state which determines the leptonic decay rate of a pseudo-scalar meson is finite, which is in accord with the expectation from the field theory.

One of the system for which we may utilize the two-body Dirac equation is the heavy flavored quarkonium. There are various possibilities of choosing the framework of the approximation according to the treatment for the high-momentum interaction. We have briefly discussed the correction factor to the leptonic decay width caused by the high momenta. The equation affords a good foundation of the heavy quark effective theory.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Professor G.A. Kozlov for useful communication on the two-time Green's function.

Appendix

We examine the asymptotic ($p \rightarrow \infty$) behavior of the momentum-space wave function and show that the average WFO $\text{Tr}\{\gamma_5\gamma_0\psi(0)\}/\sqrt{2}$ is finite.⁵

There are 4 partial amplitudes $h_{\varepsilon\eta}(p)$ in the 1S_0 state, with which the wave function is expanded as

$$\chi(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{\varepsilon\eta} \sum_r c_r u_\varepsilon^r(-\mathbf{p}) v_\eta^{-r}(\mathbf{p}) h_{\varepsilon\eta}(p) \left(\frac{1}{16\pi E_1 E_2}\right)^{1/2}, \quad (24)$$

where $c_{1/2} = -c_{-1/2} = 1/\sqrt{2}$ and the spinors u and v , for the particle 1 and 2 respectively, are defined in [6].

The average WFO for the annihilation decay through the axial-vector current is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{Tr}\{\gamma_5\gamma_0\psi(0)\} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi}} \int \left(\frac{1}{E_1 E_2}\right)^{1/2} \\ &\times \sum_{\varepsilon\eta} \left\{ \sqrt{(E_1 + \varepsilon M)(E_2 + \eta m)} - \varepsilon\eta \sqrt{(E_1 - \varepsilon M)(E_2 - \eta m)} \right\} h_{\varepsilon\eta}(p) p^2 dp. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

We first assume the Coulomb potential. The partial-wave equation for the 1S_0 state is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \{E - \varepsilon E_1(p) - \eta E_2(p)\} h_{\varepsilon\eta}(p) &= -\varepsilon \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \sum_{\varepsilon'\eta'} \int dq \\ &\times \frac{q}{p} \left[\frac{1}{E_1(p) E_1(q) E_2(p) E_2(q)} \right]^{1/2} [\{A_{\varepsilon\varepsilon'}^1 A_{\eta\eta'}^2 + \varepsilon\varepsilon'\eta\eta' A_{-\varepsilon-\varepsilon'}^1 A_{-\eta-\eta'}^2\} Q_0(z) \\ &+ \{\varepsilon\varepsilon' A_{-\varepsilon-\varepsilon'}^1 A_{\eta\eta'}^2 + \eta\eta' A_{\varepsilon\varepsilon'}^1 A_{-\eta-\eta'}^2\} Q_1(z)] h_{\varepsilon'\eta'}(q), \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where $z = (p^2 + q^2)/2pq$ and $Q_\ell(z)$ is the Legendre's function. $A_{\varepsilon\varepsilon'}^i$ is defined by

$$A_{\varepsilon\varepsilon'}^i = \sqrt{(E_i(p) + \varepsilon m_i)(E_i(q) + \varepsilon' m_i)}.$$

The asymptotic behavior of the wave function is determined from the integral region near the infinity. We then expand the both sides of (26) into the series of $1/p$ and $1/q$. We assume the power behavior of the wave function for large p . The independent amplitudes are chosen to be $h_A(p) \equiv \sum_\varepsilon h_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}(p)$, $h_B(p) \equiv \sum_\varepsilon \varepsilon h_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}(p)$, $h_C(p) \equiv \sum_\varepsilon h_{\varepsilon-\varepsilon}(p)$, and $h_D(p) \equiv \sum_\varepsilon \varepsilon h_{\varepsilon-\varepsilon}(p)$, which are expanded, in the high-momentum region, in power series of $1/p$:

$$h_X(p) = \sum_n C_X^n p^{-\beta_X - 2n - 1}.$$

⁵See Ref.[17] and references therein, for the Salpeter equation.

Integrals on the right-hand side can be done if we neglect infrared- divergent terms which are irrelevant to the leading asymptotic behavior. Now, we can determine the asymptotic indices β_X 's from consistency[6]: We get, for h_A and h_B ,

$$2C_A^0 p^{-\beta_A} - EC_B^0 p^{-\beta_B-1} = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} C_A^0 \frac{\pi}{1-\beta_A} \cot(\frac{\pi}{2}\beta_A) p^{-\beta_A} \quad (27)$$

and

$$2C_B^0 p^{-\beta_B} - EC_A^0 p^{-\beta_A-1} = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} C_B^0 \frac{\pi(1-\beta_B)}{\beta_B(2-\beta_B)} \tan(\frac{\pi}{2}\beta_B) p^{-\beta_B}, \quad (28)$$

where the terms of the higher power in $1/p$ are neglected. If we neglect the second term in the left-hand sides of (27), we find β_A in the range $1 < \beta_A < 2$ ⁶ and get $\beta_B = \beta_A + 1$ from (28). We obtain another series by neglecting the second term in (28). For this, β_B is found to be in the range $2 < \beta_0 < \beta_B < 3$, where the lower bound β_0 corresponds to the upper bound $4/\pi$ of α above which the index β_A from (27) becomes complex. β_A of the second series is given by $\beta_A = \beta_B + 1$.

The asymptotic amplitudes h_C and h_D are determined dependently on h_A and h_B . We get, for the minimum indices

$$\beta_C = \min(\beta_A + 2, \beta_B + 1) \quad (29)$$

$$\beta_D = \beta_A + 1. \quad (30)$$

We see that the average WFO (25) is finite, because

$$\beta_B > 1 \text{ and } \beta_C > 2$$

hold for the asymptotic amplitudes. This conclusion is valid even if the instantaneous exchange(transverse part) of the gauge boson is added.

References

- [1] E. E. Salpeter. *Phys. Rev.***87**,328, 1952. There is another limiting procedure in which the ladder BS equation becomes the Dirac equation:
C. Hayashi and Y. Munakata. *Prog. Theor. Phys.***7**,481, 1952.
However, we take the Salpeter's approximation first, since we are looking for the finite-mass single-time equation which reduces to the Dirac equation.
- [2] S.J. Brodsky. *Proc. of Brandeis University Summer Institute in Theoretical Physics, 1969*, **1**, p.91. Gordon and Breach, 1971.
- [3] G. Breit. *Phys. Rev.***34**,553, 1929; **36**, 383, 1930.

⁶See Ref.[6] for the details.

- [4] E. C. G. Stückelberg. *Helv. Phys. Acta***14**,32L,588, 1941.
R. P. Feynman. *Phys. Rev.***76**,749, 1949.
- [5] See, for example, I.J.R. Aitchison and J.G. Hey A. *Gauge Theories in Particle Physics*, p.56. Adam Hilger, Bristol, 1982.
- [6] H. Ito. *Prog. Theor. Phys.***67**,1553, 1982.
- [7] N. Fukuda K. Sawada and M. Taketani. *Prog. Theor. Phys.***12**,156, 1954.
- [8] S. Okubo. *Prog. Theor. Phys.***12**,603, 1954.
- [9] H. Ito. *Prog. Theor. Phys.***84**,94, 1990.
- [10] H. Ito. *Prog. Theor. Phys.***78**,978, 1987; **83**,1064(*Errata*), 1990; **89**, 763, 1993.
- [11] V.B. Mandelzweig and S.J. Wallace. *Phys. Lett.***B197**,469, 1987.
S.J. Wallace and V.B. Mandelzweig. *Nucl. Phys.***A503**,673, 1989.
- [12] P.C. Tiemeijer. *Relativistic Analysis of the Constituent Quark Model for Mesons*, p.1. Universiteit Utrecht, Utrecht, 1993.
- [13] M.B. Voloshin and M.A. Shifman. *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.***45**,292, 1987.
- [14] H.D. Politzer and M.B. Wise. *Phys. Lett.***B206**,681, 1988.
- [15] H. Ito. *Prog. Theor. Phys.***71**,868, 1984.
- [16] H. Ito. *Proc. of the International Symposium on Extended Object and Bound System*, March 1992, Karuizawa, p.131. World Scientific, Singapore, 1993.
- [17] H. Ito. *Prog. Theor. Phys.***80**,874, 1988.